



## COLBERT INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

### **Annual Letter to Clients December 31, 2009**

#### **The horrible and terrifying deeds and words of the very renowned Pantagruel King of the Dipsodes, son of the giant Gargantua**

Should Lehman Brothers have been saved from bankruptcy? Why wasn't American International Group (AIG) allowed to fail?

There are two basic reasons for bankruptcy: lack of liquidity or insolvency. A good business could run out of cash due to a temporary or a cyclical reason, or due to poor (but reversible) business decisions. Coming to the rescue of such a business with fresh cash from shareholders, lenders or government makes sense. Existing shareholders may lose some, creditors usually come out OK. Nearly every business manager has gone through a liquidity crisis, emerging wiser, with a stronger company.

Insolvency concerns a company whose viability will not be restored by throwing money at it. Its problems are more permanent in nature, like a structurally uncompetitive high cost structure, or assets that would not produce enough cash or cash flow to cover expenses or debts. The best option is liquidation or a restructuring where shareholders may be wiped out, creditors take big hits, possibly losing everything as well.

Lehman Brothers, the broker-dealer-investment-bank, had some good assets (or businesses) but its reckless management ran the corporation into the ground, having borrowed excessively to buy investments that turned out to have little value. Just like Tony Montana – Al Pacino's character in the movie Scarface – a pusher who consumes his own smack is doomed.

Of course, the government *could* have saved Lehman Brothers (governments do whatever they want, legal or not). However, Lehman Brothers was undeniably insolvent. It was toast.

The gargantuan AIG had a liquidity crisis, a giant one, as well as some sizable insolvent activities. To make a long story short, many international banks had bought insurance from an AIG subsidiary to protect them against losses on packages of dubious loans. AIG had sold so much of this protection to the Who's Who of the world banking industry that it was running out of cash to pay on their claims. The global financial system, already

weakened by the debacles of Bear Stearns, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac – and now the Lehman Brothers bombshell – was utterly wobbly. Big Brother had to step in.

Immense amounts of liquidity would be required to stabilize the staggering global financial system. Or as then President George Bush said in a brilliant piece of economic analysis: “If money is not loosened up, this sucker could go down” (alluding to the economy on September 25, 2008).

### **Little Dutch Boy**

Alan Blinder, former Federal Reserve Vice Chairman, told the following story referring to the actions taken by the central bank’s Chairman, Ben Bernake:

*One day a little Dutch boy was walking home when he noticed a small leak in a dike that protected the people in the surrounding town. He started to stick his finger in the hole, but then he remembered his moral hazard lesson. “The companies that built this dike did a terrible job,” the boy said. “They don’t deserve a bailout. And doing that would just encourage more shoddy construction. Besides, the dumb people who live here should never have built their homes on a floodplain.” The boy continued on his way home. Before he arrived, the dike burst and everyone for miles around drowned, including the little Dutch boy.*

*Perhaps, You’ve have heard Fed’s an alternative version of this story. In this kinder, gentler version, the little Dutch boy, somewhat desperate and very worried about the horrors of the flood, stuck his finger in the dike and held it there until help arrived. It was painful. The little Dutch boy would much rather have been somewhere else. But he did it anyway. And all the foolish people who live behind the dike were saved from the error of their ways.*

The ongoing debate about how the government handled the financial crisis of 2008-2009 will probably outlast all of us. Opinions abound, nobody really knows. I side with the Fed’s Dutch boy. We were all bailed out.

### **2008, What a year...**

Nobody needs a reminder of dismal 2008 performances. Revisiting bad times helps better understand where one had gone wrong, what correct actions were taken. For example, here is what I wrote when the liquidity crisis was in full bloom in November 2008:

*Two months ago I wrote that it was an ideal time to invest. The painful drop in market prices of gold, bonds, commodities and equities since then shows how early I was. For this, and other mistakes, I accept full responsibility. Every way I look at this though, no matter how much I turn things upside down, the evidence at hand screams that we are presented with opportunities many of us may never see again in equities and in bonds.*

...

*I can't guarantee you anything specific. I don't know what may happen in the next year or two or three. But I am resolute, working ceaselessly, and devoting all my resources to a positive outcome. I will not let you down.*

*Colbert Investment Management November 30, 2008 Letter*

**2009, What a year!**

Never in my life has it been safer to take the proverbial calculated risk. Like shooting fish in a barrel. Value investing heaven. Our bond portfolios have generally performed splendidly. Our equity portfolios have rebounded commendably. (Read more about it in our Core Equity Composite Portfolio Annual Report).

Thank you for your patience and your confidence.

With kindest regards and every good wish,

Karim Armand

## **DISCLAIMERS AND OTHER LEGAL INFORMATION**

This letter ("Letter"), and any information and research contained herein, do not represent recommendations of investment advice to buy or sell securities or any financial instrument nor are they intended as an endorsement of any security or investment. **This Letter is for informational purposes only and any information contained in this Letter represents the writer's or provider's own investment opinions, and should not be construed as personalized investment or tax advice.** Nothing herein is an offer of any service that is not legal for offer into any particular jurisdiction with Colbert Investment Management Co. (together with all principals, affiliates, employees, and associated persons thereof collectively being referred to herein as "CIM") current licensure (if any).

**LEGAL AND OTHER INFORMATION.** Any information, data, statements, opinions, or projections made in this Letter may contain certain forward looking statements, projections and information that are based on the beliefs of CIM as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, CIM. Such statements in this Letter reflect the view of CIM with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions (including, but not limited to, changes in general economic and business conditions, interest rate and securities market fluctuations, competition from within and without the investment industry, new products and services in the investment industry, changes in customer profiles, and changes in laws and regulations applicable to CIM. Should one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this Letter. Furthermore, although carefully verified, data is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The statements, opinions, and/or data expressed in this Letter are subject to change without notice based on market and other conditions. Descriptions of CIM's process and strategies are based on general practice and CIM may make exceptions in specific cases. This Letter is based on information available as of the time it was written, provided, or communicated and CIM disclaims any duty to update this Letter and any content, research or information contained therein. Accordingly, CIM does not make any representation as to the timeliness of any information in this Letter. As a result of all of the foregoing, inter alia, CIM can not be held responsible for trades executed by the recipients or viewers of this Letter based on the statements, projections, research, or any other information of any other kind included therein. *Investments in securities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk; you should be aware that you could lose all or a substantial amount of your investment if you attempt to apply any of the information in this Letter.*

The information contained herein is confidential and may not be reproduced or circulated in whole or in part.

**\*DISCLAIMERS AND INFORMATION RELATED TO ALL PERFORMANCE DATA.** PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS AND FUTURE ACCURACY AND PROFITABLE RESULTS CANNOT BE GUARANTEED. PERFORMANCE FIGURES ARE PRE-TAX AVERAGES OF INDIVIDUAL YEAR'S RESULTS. ALL PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY BASED ON THE SAME TYPES OF GAINS. THE AMOUNTS MANAGED MAY DIVERGE FROM THE AMOUNTS UNDER MANAGEMENT THAT FORMED THE BASIS FOR HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE. ALL PERFORMANCE ASSUMES THE REINVESTMENT OF EARNINGS. THE U.S. DOLLAR IS THE CURRENCY USED TO EXPRESS PERFORMANCE. ACTUAL INVESTMENT ADVISORY FEES INCURRED BY CLIENTS MAY VARY. INVESTMENT ADVISORY FEES ARE DESCRIBED IN CIM'S FORM ADV PART II. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, ANY PERFORMANCE IN THIS LETTER IS NOT AUDITED AND IS NOT INTENDED TO COMPLY WITH AIMR-PPS™ OR GIPS GUIDELINES. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT FOLLOWING THIS LETTER AND/OR ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFITS OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN OR DESCRIBED IN THIS LETTER. ANY INVESTMENT RETURN AND PRINCIPAL WILL FLUCTUATE WITH MARKET CONDITIONS, AND YOU MAY HAVE A GAIN OR LOSS ON YOUR INVESTMENTS. ACCORDINGLY, INDIVIDUAL RETURNS, FOR ANY GIVEN ACCOUNT OR YEAR, MAY VARY FROM ANY OF THE RELEVANT RETURNS SHOWN HEREIN.

ANY PERFORMANCE COMPARISON TO THE PERFORMANCE OF INDICES IN THIS LETTER MAY NOT BE A MEANINGFUL COMPARISON. INDICES CITED IN THIS LETTER ARE GENERALLY NOT AVAILABLE FOR DIRECT INVESTMENT AND ARE NOT SUBJECT TO FEES. ANY PERFORMANCE REFERENCED IN THIS LETTER IS NOT NECESSARILY BASED ON THE SAME TYPES OF SECURITIES CONTAINED IN ANY INDEX SHOWN OR REFERENCED IN THIS LETTER, NOR IS ANY SUCH INDEX REPRESENTATIVE OF ANY PERFORMANCE PRESENTED IN THIS LETTER. HEDGE FUNDS MAY USE SHORT POSITIONS, DERIVATIVES, AND LEVERAGE, UNLIKE SOME OF THE COMPONENTS OF SOME OF THE INDICES. ANY INDICES SHOWN IN THIS LETTER ARE ONLY TO REFLECT COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE OF FAMILIAR OR OTHER INVESTMENT STYLES. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT ANY STOCKS, PORTFOLIO, INDICES, FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT, INVESTMENT OR FUND (INCLUDING THE FUND) WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFITS OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN OR DESCRIBED IN THIS LETTER. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE WILL VARY BASED ON MANY FACTORS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, INVESTMENT STRATEGIES, TAXES, MARKET CONDITIONS, AND APPLICABLE ADVISORY AND OTHER FEES AND EXPENSES.

**\*\*PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND DISCLAIMERS RELATED TO THE CIM CORE EQUITY COMPOSITE.** CIM MANAGES FIXED INCOME, BALANCED AND EQUITY PORTFOLIOS, BUT ONLY RELEASES DATA HERE ON THE EQUITY PORTION OF CLIENTS' ASSETS. SIGNIFICANT DISPERSION MAY OCCUR BETWEEN THE PERFORMANCE, HOLDINGS, RATIOS AND PERCENTAGES SET FORTH ABOVE AMONG THE ACTUAL INDIVIDUAL CLIENT ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY CIM. THIS DISPERSION MAY BE DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN ACCOUNT SIZE, CASH FLOW, THE TIMING AND TERMS OF EXECUTION OF TRADES, INDIVIDUAL CLIENT NEEDS, ECONOMIC OR MARKET CONDITIONS AND OTHER FACTORS. PERFORMANCE FOR CIM CORE EQUITY COMPOSITE IS NET OF FEES AND EXPENSES. PERFORMANCE IS BASED ON ALL CLIENT EQUITY ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY CIM IN A SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR MANNER.